arXiv:1405.0905v1 [nlin.SI] 5 May 2014

HEISENBERG MODEL IN PSEUDO–EUCLIDEAN SPACES

Božidar Jovanović

Mathematical Institute SANU, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts
Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
E-mail: bozaj@mi.sanu.ac.rs

Abstract

We construct analogues of the classical Heisenberg spin chain model (or the discrete Neumann system) on pseudo–spheres and light–like cones in the pseudo–Euclidean spaces and show their complete Hamiltonian integrability. Further, we prove that the Heisenberg model on a light–like cone leads to a new example of integrable discrete contact system.

Keywords: Discrete Hamiltonian and contact systems, the Lax representation, complete integrability. **MSC 2010:** 37J55, 37J35

1 Introduction

A pseudo–Euclidean space $E^{k,l}$ of signature (k,l), $k,l \in \mathbb{N}$, $k+l=n$, is the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle x,y\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i y_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} x_i y_i \quad (x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n).$$

A vector $x \in E^{k,l}$ is called *space–like*, *time–like*, *light–like*, if $\langle x,x\rangle$ is positive, negative, or zero, respectively. Denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ the Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let

$$E = \operatorname{diag}(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n) = \operatorname{diag}(1,\dots,1,-1,\dots,-1),$$

where k diagonal elements are equal to 1 and l to -1. Then $\langle x,y\rangle = (Ex,y)$, for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$.

We consider a discrete system on a pseudo–sphere and on the light-like cone given by

$$S_c^{n-1} = \{\langle q,q\rangle = (q,Eq) = c\},$$

$c=\pm1$ and, respectively, $c=0$. The system is defined by the action functional

$$S[\mathbf{q}] = \sum_k \mathbf{L}(q_k,q_{k+1}),$$

with the discrete Lagrangian

$$\mathbf{L}(q_k,q_{k+1}) = \langle q_k, Jq_{k+1}\rangle = (q_k, EJq_{k+1}),$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (q_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a sequence of points on S_c^{n-1} and $J = \mathrm{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_n)$, $J_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The equations of the stationary configuration have the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_k, q_{k+1})}{\partial q_k} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_{k-1}, q_k)}{\partial q_k} = \lambda_k E q_k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.1}$$

that is,

$$q_{k+1} + q_{k-1} = \lambda_k J^{-1} q_k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the multipliers are determined by the constraints

$$c = \langle q_{k+1}, q_{k+1} \rangle = \langle q_{k-1}, q_{k-1} \rangle - 2\lambda_k \langle J^{-1} q_k, q_{k-1} \rangle + \lambda_k^2 \langle J^{-2} q_k, q_k \rangle. \tag{1.3}$$

Thus either $\lambda_k = 0$ or, in the case $\langle J^{-2} q_k, q_k \rangle \neq 0$, we have another solution

$$\lambda_k = 2\langle J^{-1} q_k, q_{k-1} \rangle / \langle J^{-2} q_k, q_k \rangle. \tag{1.4}$$

We consider the dynamics given by the second expression, which is defined outside the singular set $\langle J^{-2} q_k, q_k \rangle = 0$.

For $n = 3$ and the Euclidean case, the functional defines the energy of a classical spin chain in the Heisenberg model [11, 12]. Also, in the Euclidean case for arbitrary n the equations represent a discretisation of the classical Neumann system [9, 10]. So we refer to (1.2), (1.4) as a *Heisenberg model*, or a *discrete Neumann system* in a pseudo–Euclidean space $E^{k,l}$.

We present a matrix Lax representation of the mapping, show that it is symplectic, and prove its complete integrability. Recently, a related elliptical billiard problem in pseudo–Euclidean spaces was studied in [6, 7, 2]. The light–like billiard flow provides a natural example of a discrete contact completely integrable system [1, 7, 3]. We shall prove that the Heisenberg model on a light–like cone leads to an integrable contact system as well.

2 Description of the dynamics

Symplectic description. Let

$$P_c = S_c^{n-1} \times S_c^{n-1} \subset E^{k,l} \times E^{k,l}(q, Q): \quad \phi_1 = \langle q, q \rangle = c, \quad \phi_2 = \langle Q, Q \rangle = c.$$

The equations (1.2), (1.4) determine the mapping

$$\Phi: \quad P_c \longrightarrow P_c$$

($\Phi(q_{k-1}, q_k) = (q_k, q_{k+1})$), defined outside the singular set $\langle J^{-2} Q, Q \rangle = 0$. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q, Q)}{\partial q} dq = EJQ dq = \sum_i \tau_i J_i Q_i dq_i \,.$$

The flow of Φ preserves 2-form $\Omega = d\alpha = \sum_i \tau_i J_i dQ_i \wedge dq_i$ (see Veselov [11, 12])

$$\Phi^* \Omega = \Omega.$$

Namely, Φ preserves a form ω if the restriction of $\pi_1^* \omega - \pi_2^* \omega$ to the associated graph

$$\Gamma_\Phi = \{(q_1, Q_1, q_2, Q_2) \in P_c \times P_c \,|\, Q_1 = q_2,\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_2, Q_2)}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_1, Q_1)}{\partial Q} = \lambda E Q_1\}$$

equals zero, where π_1 and π_2 are projections to the first and the second factor of $P_c \times P_c$ and $\lambda = 2\langle J^{-1}q_1, Q_1\rangle / \langle J^{-2}Q_1, Q_1\rangle$. At Γ_Φ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^*\alpha - \pi_2^*\alpha &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_1,Q_1)}{\partial q}dq_1 - \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_2,Q_2)}{\partial q}dq_2 \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_1,Q_1)}{\partial q}dq_1 + \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}(q_1,Q_1)}{\partial Q}dQ_1 - \lambda E Q_1 dQ_1 \\ &= d(\pi_1^*\mathbf{L}) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda d(\langle Q_1, Q_1\rangle) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

implying

$$\pi_1^*\Omega - \pi_2^*\Omega = -\frac{1}{2}d\lambda \wedge d(\langle Q, Q\rangle) = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad \Gamma_\Phi.$$

The form Ω is symplectic on $E^{k,l} \times E^{k,l}$. Let $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_\Omega$ be the corresponding Poisson bracket. We have

$$\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}_\Omega = -\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial Q} \cdot EJ^{-1}\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial q} \cdot EJ^{-1}\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial Q} = 4\langle q, J^{-1}Q\rangle.$$

Therefore, the subvariety

$$P_c^* = P_c \setminus \{\langle q, J^{-1}Q\rangle = 0\}$$

is symplectic. Moreover, P_c^* is Φ–invariant. Indeed, alternatively to (1.3), the Lagrange multiplier λ_k can be found from the expression $\langle q_{k-1}, q_{k-1}\rangle = c$, provided $\langle q_k, q_k\rangle = \langle q_{k+1}, q_{k+1}\rangle = c$. This leads to $c = c - 2\lambda_k\langle J^{-1}q_k, q_{k+1}\rangle + \lambda_k^2\langle J^{-2}q_k, q_k\rangle$ and the Lagrange multiplier equals

$$\lambda_k = 2\langle J^{-1}q_{k+1}, q_k\rangle / \langle J^{-2}q_k, q_k\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

By combining (1.4) and (2.2) we get that

$$K(q,Q) = \langle J^{-1}Q, q\rangle = (J^{-1}EQ, q) \tag{2.3}$$

is the first integral of the system and P_c^* is Φ–invariant.

The induced Poisson bracket on (P_c^*, Ω) can be described by the Dirac construction (e.g., [8]):

$$\{f_1, f_2\}_\Omega^D = \{f_1, f_2\}_\Omega - \frac{\{\phi_1, f_1\}_\Omega\{\phi_2, f_2\}_\Omega - \{\phi_2, f_1\}_\Omega\{\phi_1, f_2\}_\Omega}{\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}_\Omega}. \tag{2.4}$$

Light–like cone and contact description. In the light–like case, multiplying (1.2) by q_k, we obtain the additional invariant relation

$$\langle Jq_{k-1}, q_k\rangle + \langle Jq_k, q_{k+1}\rangle = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

which gives that $\mathbf{L}^2 = \langle Jq, Q\rangle^2$ is also the integral of the mapping Φ. Consider the corresponding $(2n-3)$–dimensional invariant variety

$$M_\kappa = \{(q,Q) \in P_0^* \,|\, \mathbf{L}(q,Q) = \langle q, JQ\rangle = \pm\kappa\} = M_{\kappa,+} \bigcup M_{\kappa,-}, \quad \kappa \geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Theorem 2.1: The restriction of 1-form α to M_κ is a contact form for $\kappa > 0$. Moreover, Φ is a contact transformation

$$\Phi^*\alpha = \alpha \tag{2.7}$$

that interchanges the components of $M_{\kappa,+}$ and $M_{\kappa,-}$ of M_κ.

The restriction of α to M_κ is contact if $\alpha \neq 0$ and the restriction of $\Omega = d\alpha$ to the horizontal distribution

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker \alpha = \{\xi \in T_{(q,Q)} M_\kappa \,|\, \alpha(\xi) = 0\}$$

is nondegenerate.

Let $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{L}}$ be the Hamiltonian vector field of the discrete Lagrangian $\mathbf{L}(q,Q)$ on (P_0^*, Ω). It appears that the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\mathbf{L}} = (q, -Q)$ of $\mathbf{L}$ on $(E^{k,l} \times E^{k,l}, \Omega)$ is tangent to P_0^*, and therefore,

$$\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{L}} = X_{\mathbf{L}}|_{P_0^*} = (q, -Q).$$

From (2.6) and the definition of the Hamiltonian vector field $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{L}}$ ($i_{\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{L}}}\Omega = -d\mathbf{L}|_{P_0^*}$), the kernel of Ω restricted to M_κ is proportional to $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{L}}$. Further,

$$\alpha(\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{L}}) = (EJq, Q) = \mathbf{L} = \pm\kappa, \qquad (q,Q) \in M_{\kappa,\pm} \tag{2.8}$$

implies that $\ker d\alpha \cap \mathcal{H} = 0$ and the restriction of $d\alpha$ to $\mathcal{H}$ is nondegenerate, for $\kappa > 0$.

Next, by restricting (2.1) to $M_\kappa \times M_\kappa$, and using the fact that both, the restrictions of $d\mathbf{L}$ and $d(\langle Q_1, Q_1\rangle)$ to M_κ are equal to zero, we obtain (2.7).

3 Integrability

Define

$$F = \mathrm{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, i, \ldots, i),$$

where the first k components are equal to 1, and the last $n-k$ components are equal to the imaginary unit i ($F^2 = E$).

Motivated by the Moser–Veselov Lax matrix representation for the Heisenberg system in the Euclidean case [9], we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 3.1: The equations (1.2) implies the matrix equation

$$L_{k+1}(\lambda) = A_k(\lambda) L_k(\lambda) A_k^{-1}(\lambda),$$

where

$$L_k(\lambda) = J^2 + \lambda Fq_{k-1} \wedge FJq_k - \lambda^2 c \cdot Fq_{k-1} \otimes Fq_{k-1}, \quad A_k(\lambda) = J - \lambda Fq_k \otimes Fq_{k-1}.$$

Like in [9], we have the matrix factorization $L_k = A_k^T(-\lambda) A_k(\lambda)$. Therefore, the discrete Lax representation can be seen also as interchanging of A_k–matrixes:

$$L_{k+1} = A_{k+1}^T(-\lambda) A_{k+1}(\lambda) = A_k(\lambda) A_k^T(-\lambda).$$

Note that in the case of a Heisenberg model on a light–like cone ($c = 0$), the L–matrix is linear in λ.

If $J_j^2 \neq J_i^2$, the integrals of the mapping Φ obtained from the matrix representation can be written in the form

$$f_i(q,Q) = c \cdot \tau_i q_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\tau_i \tau_j (J_j Q_j q_i - q_j J_i Q_i)^2}{J_i^2 - J_j^2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1: The integrals (2.3), (3.1) on P_c are related by

$$\sum_i f_i \equiv c^2, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{J_i^2} f_i \equiv K^2, \tag{3.3}$$

and, for $c = 0$, we have the additional relation

$$\sum_i J_i^2 f_i \equiv -\mathbf{L}^2. \tag{3.4}$$

By direct calculations, one can prove that the integrals (3.1) commute on (P_c^*, Ω):

$$\{f_i, f_j\}_\Omega^D = 0, \qquad i, j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3.5}$$

and that the only relation among them is (3.2).

Theorem 3.2: The Heisenberg model is a completely integrable discrete Hamiltonian system on (P_c^*, Ω), $c = \pm 1, 0$.

We proceed with the light–like case and consider the mapping Φ restricted to the contact manifold (M_κ, α). Recall that a vector field Y is contact if it preserves the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_Y \alpha = \lambda\alpha$, for some smooth function λ. The distinguish contact vector field is the Reeb vector field Z, uniquely defined by

$$i_Z \alpha = 1, \qquad i_Z d\alpha = 0.$$

From (2.8), the Reeb vector field reads

$$Z|_{(q,Q)} = \pm \frac{1}{\kappa}(q, -Q), \qquad (q, Q) \in M_{\kappa,\pm}.$$

Since the Lie derivatives of the integrals (3.1) along $X_{\mathbf{L}}$, for $c = 0$, are equal to zero

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathbf{L}}} f_i = \{f_i, \mathbf{L}\}_\Omega = 0 \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_Z f_i = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad [Z, Y_{f_i}] = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

where Y_{f_i} are the contact Hamiltonian vector fields with Hamiltonians f_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. [1]

From (3.5) and (3.6), using the theorem on *isoenergetic integrability* (for more details, see [4]), we get

$$[f_i, f_j] = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad [Y_{f_i}, Y_{f_j}] = 0 \qquad i, j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3.7}$$

Apart from (3.2), on M_κ the integrals (3.1) have the additional relation $\sum_i J_i^2 f_i \equiv -\kappa^2$ (see (3.4)), and there are $n - 2$ independent functions among them.

[1] The mapping $\Psi : Y \mapsto f = i_Y \alpha$ establish the isomorphism between the vector spaces of contact vector fields and smooth functions on M_κ. The vector field $Y_f = \Psi^{-1}(f)$ is the *contact Hamiltonian vector field* with the Hamiltonian function f. In particular, the Hamiltonian of the Reeb vector field is $f \equiv 1$. Further, Ψ is a Lie algebra isomorphism: $Y_{[f,g]} = [Y_f, Y_g]$, where on the right hand side we have the usual Lie bracket of vector fields, while on the left hand side we have the *Jacobi bracket* on $C^\infty(M_\kappa)$ defined by $[f, g] = \mathcal{L}_{Y_f} g - g\mathcal{L}_Z f$ (e.g., see [5]).

Thus, the mapping Φ is a completely integrable contact transformation (see [7, 3]). The conditions (3.6), (3.7), ensure that M_κ is almost everywhere foliated on $(n-1)$–dimensional invariant manifolds, regular level sets of integrals $f_1, \ldots, f_n$. The associated distribution $\mathcal{F}$ is pre–Legendrian (or co–Legendrian)[2] and it is generated by the commuting contact vector fields $Z, Y_{f_1}, \ldots, Y_{f_n}$ [7].

Theorem 3.3: The Heisenberg model on the light–like cone in a pseudo–Euclidean space $E^{k,l}$ is completely integrable contact system on (M_κ, α), $\kappa > 0$.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the referee for useful suggestions. The research was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science Project 174020, Geometry and Topology of Manifolds, Classical Mechanics and Integrable Dynamical Systems.

References

[1] A. Banyaga and P, Molino, *Géométrie des formes de contact complétement intégrables de type torique*, Séminare Gaston Darboux, Montpellier (1991-92), 1-25. See also: Complete Integrability in Contact Geometry, Penn State preprint PM 197, 1996.

[2] V. Dragović and M. Radnović, *Ellipsoidal billiards in pseudo-euclidean spaces and relativistic quadrics*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 1173-1201, arXiv:1108.4552 [math.AG].

[3] B. Jovanović, *Noncommutative integrability and action angle variables in contact geometry*, Journal of Symplectic Geometry, **10** (2012), 535–562, arXiv:1103.3611 [math.SG].

[4] B. Jovanović, V. Jovanović, *Contact flows and integrable systems*, arXiv:1212.2918.

[5] P. Libermann, C. Marle, *Symplectic Geometry, Analytical Mechanics*, Riedel, Dordrecht, 1987.

[6] B. Khesin and S. Tabachnikov, *Pseudo-Riemannian geodesics and billiards*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), 1364–1396, arXiv:math/0608620 [math.DG]

[7] B. Khesin, S. Tabachnikov, *Contact complete integrability, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, **15** (2010) 504-520, arXiv:0910.0375 [math.SG].

[8] J. Moser, *Geometry of quadric and spectral theory*. In: Chern Symposium 1979, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 147–188, 1980.

[9] J. Moser, A. P. Veselov, *Discrete versions of some classical integrable systems and factorization of matrix polynomials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 217–243.

[10] Yu. B. Suris *The problem of integrable discretization: Hamiltonian approach*, Progress in Mathematics, **219**. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.

[11] А. П. Веселов, *Интегрируемые системы с дискретным временем и разностные операторы*, Функц. анализ и его прилож. **22**(2) (1988), 1–13 (Russian); English translation:

A. P. Veselov, *Integrable discrete–time systems and and difference operators*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), 83–94

[12] А. П. Веселов, *Интегрируемые отображения*, Успехи Мат. Наук, **46**(5) (1991), 3–45 (Russian); English translation:

A. P. Veselov, *Integrable maps*, Russ. Math. Surv. **46** (5) (1991) 1–51.

[2] $\mathcal{F}$ is transversal to $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}$ is a maximal integrable (Legendrian) distribution of $\mathcal{H}$.